

# VENTURA COUNTY AIRPORT MASTER PLAN

## RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

Current as of May 28, 2021

---

The Ventura County Department of Airports would like to thank community members who participated in the public workshop that was recently conducted to kick off the Camarillo and Oxnard Airport Master Plan update. Based on the feedback received to date, the Department has decided to pause the Master Plan process for a period of approximately one year or more if needed to broaden community engagement. For more information, please visit the project website, which is listed below.

This is a summary and response to questions/comments submitted to the airport consulting team, Coffman Associates, Inc., who is preparing the Airport Master Plan study for Ventura County, California owned and operated airports (Camarillo Airport and Oxnard Airport). Comments/questions have been received from the following sources:

- The project website: <https://venturacounty.airportstudy.net/>
- The first of four public information workshops held via Zoom on May 13, 2021 at 2:00 p.m.
- Direct emails to the consultant team

Questions and comments are grouped by topic with responses provided where appropriate.

---

### Questions Regarding Noise

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:14:18 From Bob Merrilees to Everyone: Are aircraft landing and departing CMA required to comply with Stage 3 or Stage 5 Noise standards?
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:15:08 From David Cronshaw to Everyone: Will there be placed noise meters at OXR and CMA? Residents have huge concerns with excessive flight training touch and goes over Oxnard neighborhoods.
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:31 From EE to Everyone: Oxnard airport noise has increased! what is this doing to our property values and our quality of life?
4. May 13 Zoom at 17:01:08 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: The question is how much more aircraft and noise do you want
5. Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:07:52 PM - Ritzel S. Ngo, Esq. - Can you please refer me to your contact (name, address, e-mail and telephone) at either the City Attorney's Office in Camarillo and/or the County Counsel for Ventura County that addresses the flight path for planes? As you now see from the multiple comments during the zoom meeting today, the aircraft traffic in our residential community is intrusive to say the least, and obnoxious. Most residents in Old Town Camarillo are supportive of the economic growth of our lovely city, however, the planes need to fly adjacent to residential properties and over farmland as I understand.
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:51 From Miriam M. to Everyone: What is right traffic? (A: May 13 Zoom at 16:25:48 From Steven Tannehill to Everyone: Right traffic means planes conducting training flights turn to the right instead of left - Camarillo runway 8 is right traffic - at oxr it would put training flights over open fields and the ocean instead of over the harbor homes schools shopping centers)

**Response:** As part of the master plan process and associated *California Environmental Quality Act* (CEQA) documentation, aircraft noise will be considered. This will include preparation of existing and future noise exposure contours which will be based on information specific to Camarillo Airport including aircraft types, traffic patterns, and flight paths.

In February 2012, in Section 506 of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012, Congress prohibited the operation of jet airplanes weighing 75,000 pounds or less in the contiguous United States after December 31, 2015, unless the airplanes meet Stage 3 noise levels. The Stage 5 noise standard applies to any person submitting an application for a new airplane type design with a maximum certificated takeoff weight of 121,254 pounds or more on or after December 31, 2017; or with maximum certificated takeoff weight of less than 121,254 pounds on or after December 31, 2020.

Additional information regarding the voluntary aircraft noise abatement procedures for both airports may be found here: <https://www.ventura.org/airports/noise-abatement-procedures/> (Oxnard) | <https://www.ventura.org/airports/noise-abatement-procedures-2/> (Camarillo)

---

#### **Questions Regarding Air Emissions/Environmental Impacts/Safety**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:11:09 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: what are the environmental impacts of the airports?
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:12:12 From Nick to Everyone: Environmental costs!???
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:38 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: Impact on agricultural fields around the airports? Black soot on our food/soil? Noise? Traffic? Health? Air quality? Impact on private pilots/small businesses? Residents/Residences?
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:42 From ben di benedetto to Everyone: is the study taking the residential communities into consideration as far as the flight path is concerned?
5. Thursday, May 13, 2021 11:33:47 AM - Mary Anne Rooney (email signed by Mike Barber) - Hello, we plan on attending the zoom meeting this afternoon regarding the airport master plan and have some questions and statements in advance. Hopefully these can be addressed today. We notice that there are always a large number of private jets, seating 30 people or more, that fly in and out of Camarillo daily. It appears that they are flying out of the FBO's at Camarillo. They fly directly over our community, we see how large they are, and the frequency. Some are so large that they look like they are commercial airplanes that fly in and out of Los Angeles. Our understanding was that Camarillo is a private airport yet these large airplanes appear to be commercial. Can you share who is operating those Aircrafts? It seems like the rich and famous are the only ones who can afford to fly in and out of Camarillo. Also, we are concerned about the flight pattern over our community in Nyeland Acres. Our understanding is that the planes are supposed to go around the community yet they continue to fly directly over the housing units. Last year there was an aircraft flying extremely low right over the community where we were distributing food that had to return to the airport. We are concerned about the safety of our residents and want to ensure that the planes go around the community.

**Response:** Three elements of the master plan process will provide information and analysis related to environmental considerations: the environmental inventory, environmental overview, and *California Environmental Quality Act* (CEQA). The environmental inventory is a summary of baseline data for future environmental analysis of potential projects recommended in the master plan. The environmental overview will identify potential environmental issues associated with the airport

development alternatives and recommended development concept, including mitigation measures that may be needed for proposed projects.

Prior to adoption of the master plan, a CEQA Initial Study will be prepared and will evaluate a wide range of environmental resource categories including air quality, noise, biology, cultural resources, and traffic.

The master plan process does not serve as environmental clearance for any development of the airport. The environmental clearance process is conducted separately prior to the development of specific projects. Environmental impacts, (including noise, air quality, socioeconomic impacts, etc.) of any proposed development at a Ventura County Airport would be evaluated under the *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) and CEQA. These processes include extensive public outreach efforts that will offer opportunities for the public to provide questions and concerns regarding potential development at the Ventura County Airports.

Ventura County airport land use compatibility policies regarding safety, noise, airspace, and overflights may be found in the Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan for Ventura County available here: <https://www.ventura.org/airports/document-library/>.

---

#### Questions regarding Amazon and Air Cargo Activities

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:05:01 From Scott Barer to Everyone: What are the plans (if any) for Amazon to use either Camarillo or Oxnard Airport for its aircraft?
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:24:50 From Nick to Everyone: What happens to local businesses when warehouses and other corporate interests move in? Fields get plowed for Amazon hangars/warehouses?
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:27:28 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Why can't amazon go to drone delivery?
4. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:23 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: Any analysis of the impact of Amazon cargo aircraft has to factor in the thousands of employees commuting to and from the huge "Fulfillment Center" being built by Amazon on Del Norte. It is in the City of Oxnard so no Impact Study was publicized on the traffic, emissions, etc., just from the cars and trucks. Large jets will just exacerbate that impact. Has a plan been made to link Camarillo Airport to that facility?

**Response:** The master plan process will evaluate potential demand for air cargo-related activities at the Ventura County owned/operated airports (Camarillo Airport and Oxnard Airport). At this point, there has been no indication that any specific air cargo carrier, including Amazon, have interest in conducting air cargo activities at either Ventura County airport. The purpose of our evaluation will be to identify potential on-airport facility needs to accommodate air cargo activity if that demand should materialize. The master plan process ultimately will include an environmental overview, which will identify potential environmental issues relating to development of the airport. The master plan process does not serve as environmental clearance for any development of the airport. The environmental clearance process is conducted separately prior to the development of specific projects. Environmental impacts, (including noise, air quality, socioeconomic impacts, etc.) of any proposed air cargo operation at a Ventura County Airport would be evaluated under the *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) and *California Environmental Quality Act* (CEQA). These processes

**include extensive public outreach efforts that will offer opportunities for the public to provide questions and concerns regarding potential development at the Ventura County Airports.**

---

#### **Questions regarding Commercial Service**

1. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:42 From Joseph Cabral to Everyone: Curiosity, would you be going after such airlines as Southwest and Avelo, which fly out of Burbank?
2. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 6:43:58 PM - Genie Klement via website - I would love it if either county airport offered flights like SBA on carriers like Southwest. Maybe they do? I have never used either airport because when I search for flights in apps like Travelocity or well known carrier apps like Southwest, these airports never come up as an option. I fly out of Burbank or Santa Barbara but I would appreciate the convenience and the money staying here locally. Also I work for the County and fly and we always book out of Burbank. Are there affordable commercial flights out of these airports?

**Response: The Ventura County airports do not currently support scheduled commercial passenger service. Like our evaluation of potential air cargo activity, the master plan will evaluate the potential demand for commercial passenger service in Ventura County. The master plan is not a commercial air service development study or marketing plan and will not target specific airlines to begin operating out of Ventura County airports. The purpose of the master plan is to evaluate the potential demand for air service and provide guidance on what improvements and facilities (i.e. runway length, terminal building, aircraft rescue and firefighting, vehicle parking/access, utilities, security, etc.) might be necessary to meet the potential demand identified.**

---

#### **Questions Regarding Other Regional Airports**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:26:11 From George Shaw to Everyone: Why is Santa Paula Airport not included in today's discussion? Understood is that it is today a visual approach and take off airport, though that can change, and it is probably farther from residential areas than Oxnard. (A: May 13 Zoom at 16:26:49 From Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates to Everyone: This study focuses on Ventura County owned airports only. That includes Oxnard and Camarillo Airports.)
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:26 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: Is the Santa Monica Airport closing?
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:39:36 From DAVE STEPHENSON to Everyone: Why would we ever consider allowing large planes and frequent flights into Camarillo airport when we have a huge airport facility that accommodate the largest planes just down the street at Pt Mugu? Many other cities have been able to create commercial/military sharing arrangements to share airports. If we want cargo and passenger flights directly into Ventura County - why not partner with Pt Mugu?
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:47:56 From DAVE STEPHENSON to Everyone: Has any member of this Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) thought about/considered/proposed expanding use of Pt Mugu to support commercial service?
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:59:40 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: why not out money into the Santa Paula airport?
6. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:15 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: Why haven't you mentioned beefing up the Santa Paula Airport?

7. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:56 From Curtis Heath to Everyone: Camarillo and Oxnard are owned/operated by the County. Santa Paula and Mugu are not
8. May 13 Zoom at 17: May 13 Zoom at 16:16 From Scott Barer to Everyone: Santa Paula Airport is privately owned. It's not a Ventura County Airport. Pt. Mugu is a military facility...again, not a Ventura County airport.
9. May 13 Zoom at 17:19:28 From Mel Dick to Everyone: What factors prevents a proposal for a dual use airport at either Pt. Mugu or Port Hueneme?
10. May 13 Zoom at 17:22:41 From DAVE STEPHENSON to Everyone: Las Vegas, Burbank, Phoenix are shared military/commercial airports AND secure research occurs near at least one of those. What makes Pt Mugu such a unique security concern?

**Response: The Ventura County Airport Master Plan focus on County-owned and operated airport facilities only, which include the Camarillo Airport and Oxnard Airport. The Santa Paula Airport, owned and operated by the Santa Paula Airport Association, and Point Mugu Naval Air Station (Naval Base Ventura County), owned and operated by the U.S. Navy, are outside the scope of this master plan. According to a Federal District Court Consent Decree dated February 1, 2017, the Santa Monica Airport is required to be operated as an airport through December 31, 2028. After December 31, 2028, the City of Santa Monica may permanently close the airport.**

---

#### **Questions Regarding Economics/Employment/etc.**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:06:53 From Steven Tannehill to Everyone: What date is the economic benefit study as of? (A: May 13 Zoom at 16:07:17 From Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates to Everyone: The economic benefit study was completed in 2019.)
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:15:55 From Jill to Everyone: What do the employment and income figures encompass? Is it exclusively airport employment, income, and taxes or does it also include non-airport lease companies, schools, etc. I want to see a breakdown of those numbers.
3. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 16:14 From Toni's iPad to Everyone: Specific examples of primary direct impact and anything else besides the cafe as secondary? I'm sorry if I missed that
4. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:31 From Bob Guthrie to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates (Direct Message): What is the cost to operate the two airports and net profit from them?
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:04 From EE to Everyone: Answer Rose's question! What is the economic impact on the neighboring residences and property values? There is zero economic benefit to residents but major devaluation through noise pollution and environmental impact

**Response: The economic impact data of the Ventura County Airports presented during the public workshop encompasses employment, payroll, and output. Employment is the number of jobs supported by economic activity created by the presence of the airport. Payroll includes income to workers as employee compensation (the dollar value of payments received by workers as wages and benefits) and proprietor's income to business owners. Output is the value of the production of private firms and public agencies. For a private firm, output is equal to the annual value of revenue or gross sales at producer prices (before addition of further margins or transportation costs), including sales or excise taxes. Output, revenue, and sales are interchangeable synonymous terms. The Ventura County Airports combine to support 2,074 jobs, \$135.1 million in annual payroll, and \$282.1 million in annual**

**economic impact to the county. This data is sourced from an Economic Benefit Analysis for both airports prepared in 2019.**

**Property values are subject to many influences, including the overall housing market, local and regional economic factors, the amenities of the area, and the features and upkeep of the individual property/neighborhood. The County airports, as support to the business community, are an important component to providing a robust local economy. Responsible development of the airports is also consistent with state and federal law, the policies of the Ventura County Transportation Commission, and the goals of the County's General Plan, all of which encourage ongoing development of airports and aviation generally.**

**The Ventura County Department of Airports is an Enterprise Fund and as such, accepts no general fund dollars from the County. Costs are borne by the users of the airports and revenues generated are sufficient to cover the operating costs of the two-airport system.**

---

#### **Questions Regarding the First Public Workshop Held on May 13, 2021 via Zoom**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:11:14 From David Cronshaw to Everyone: Where was this public meeting posted? It was not listed on the Ventura County Airports website <https://www.ventura.org/airports/airport-information/meetings-calendar/>
2. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 16:58 From iPhone to Everyone: Questions haven't been answered, why?
3. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:16 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: In the name of transparency, please report how many of the 145 participants responded to the poll. (A: May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:47 From Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates to Bob Guthrie (Direct Message): We will provide polling results at the conclusion of the presentation. A2: May 13 Zoom at 16:18:21 From Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates to Everyone: We will provide polling results at the conclusion of the presentation.)
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:07 From Joe Teichert to Everyone: Question: the poll results here will be made public later, correct? Perhaps in the CAA/OAA meeting next month?
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:39:21 From Jonathan Ullman to Everyone: Will this be recorded and available on a website later for viewing?
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:43:47 From Karen Sher to Everyone: Please clarify for the audience, in what way(s) will these poll numbers be used?
7. May 13 Zoom at 16:51:26 From Jill to Everyone: Why was this event not publicized and held in the middle of the day on a workday?
8. May 13 Zoom at 16:52:32 From Karen Sher to Everyone: Please clarify for the audience, in what way(s) will these poll numbers be used?
9. May 13 Zoom at 16:53:28 From Nick to Everyone: How much is this costing us? How much have we spent for this "presentation"?
10. May 13 Zoom at 16:58:22 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: Will we see the responses to the poll questions?
11. May 13 Zoom at 16:58:30 From Curtis Heath to Everyone: Will the answers to the polls be publicized
12. May 13 Zoom at 17:06:31 From iPhone to Everyone: Why isn't anyone from the FAA on this call?

13. May 13 Zoom at 17:24:44 From Bruce Little to Everyone: You poll results do not include the number of responses.
14. May 13 Zoom at 17:25:37 From Jason Franchi to Everyone: Are we really using Zoom poll results to conduct serious policy?
15. May 13 Zoom at 17:25:47 From Pete Freeman to Everyone: Can the presenters tell how many respondents there are to each pole question?
16. May 13 Zoom at 17:25:52 From Jason Franchi to Everyone: Why not just ask, "do you like things that are good?"
17. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:27 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: How many people responded to the poll questions?
18. Friday, May 14, 2021 11:04:25 AM - Vanise Terry - Will you be posting video of the master plan workshops online for the public to view if they could not attend?
19. Saturday, May 15, 2021 3:39:09 PM – John Spohn via website - I was part of your Zoom meeting the other day. I thought you had said the PowerPoint presentation would be posted on this site along with the questions which we answered? Please let me know when this will be available.
20. Sunday, May 16, 2021 4:33:33 PM - Lauren De La Torre - > Hello, Can you please send me a copy of the questions presented in a poll for last Thursday's May 13, 2020 Zoom meeting on the Camarillo Airport master Plan? I was hoping at the end of the Google poll there would be a button to have my answers sent to me. There wasn't one.
21. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:48 From Nick to Everyone: Besides DOA and these guys, does anyone actually want this? I'd be curious if a poll would make that clear.

**Response: The first public workshop was advertised and announced in the following publications and outlets:**

- **Twelve ¼ page print ads in the VC Star for the first workshop printed between 4/29/21-5/13/21**
- **50,000 digital ads in the VC Star running between 4/29/21-5/13/21**
- **Posts on the County Social Media Platforms via the County Public Information Officer**
- **Acorn Article on 5/7/21**
- **KEYT News Story posted 5/12/21**
- **County-wide Employee email blast 5/12/21**
- **Workshop flyer provided via email blast to all OXR & CMA hangar and business tenants**
- **Workshop flyer provided to City of CMA and City of OXR communications officers**
- **Flyer/Link posted to home page of Airport Website**

**The date and time of future workshops will be advertised in similar ways, as well as posted on this project website. Anyone who submits comments or questions through the project website will also receive email blasts notifying them of future public meetings and when new master plan materials are available on the project website. In the interest of keeping the first public workshop to a reasonable 90-minute schedule (35 minutes dedicated to Q & A), we were not able to address every single question and comment. Participants were encouraged to submit comments/questions to the project website. This question/comment response sheet will be updated periodically throughout the master plan study process to ensure all Ventura County residents have an opportunity to receive answers and have their comments noted.**

A video of the first public information workshop held on May 13, 2021, is available to view on the project website at the following address: <https://venturacounty.airportstudy.net/public-involvement/>. All future workshops will be recorded and made available on the project site for anyone unable to attend.

The poll questions asked during the first public information workshop were intended to gauge public perception of Ventura County airports and what the desired role of the airports should be according to workshop attendees. The planning team will be using this public feedback in formulating the future development of Ventura County airports. Results of the poll questions asked during the first public information workshop are as follows:

Ventura County Master Plan - Public Workshop #1 Poll Results - May 13, 2021				
	Poll Questions:	Yes %	No %	Total Votes
1	Do you desire to see new economic development growth for our communities through our Ventura County Airports?	59.1%	40.9%	88
2	Are you interested in preparing Ventura County Airports for the next generation of General Aviation?	66.3%	33.7%	86
3	Are you interested in the Ventura County Airports continuing to meet business aircraft needs to help support our local businesses and economy?	60.4%	39.6%	91
4	Are you interested in more jobs and economic growth by seeking cargo-related businesses at Ventura County Airports?	38.8%	61.2%	98
5	Are you interested in more high paying jobs and economic growth by seeking specialized aviation service provider opportunities at Ventura County Airports?	50.0%	50.0%	90
6	Are you interested in having scheduled airline service to serve residents and businesses at a Ventura County Airport?	49.5%	50.5%	101
		<b>OXR %</b>	<b>CMA %</b>	
7	Which Ventura County Airport would you prefer to host airline services?	61.2%	38.8%	85

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is funding this study at 100% via the Airport Improvement Program (AIP), which is funded by the federal Aviation Trust Fund. The Aviation Trust Fund is funded exclusively by various aviation user fees, including fees on airline tickets, aviation fuel, and some aircraft parts. There is no private funding involved in the master plan. The FAA is involved in reviewing and approving two elements of the master plan, the aviation demand forecasts and the Airport Layout Plan (ALP) drawing set. These are key elements of the master plan that help ensure the plan is evaluating reasonable demand levels and that the ALP set meets applicable FAA design standards.

#### Questions Regarding the Master Plan Process/Consultant/Ventura County

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:29:59 From Rulla Hernandez to Everyone: Eric/Matt - who hired you to do what you're doing?
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:01 From Rulla Hernandez to Everyone: Eric/Matt again, who hired you? Or is this something you're instigating?
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:12 From Rulla Hernandez to Everyone: Eric/Matt... Let me try this question again? Who hired you to do this?
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:17 From iPad air 2017 to Everyone: Department of Airports is already stalling on existing projects, lease renewals, opening new hangars for occupation, releasing old hangars for the waiting list customers, etc etc etc. what's the end game?

5. May 13 Zoom at 16:47:07 From Diana Troik to Everyone: How do you determine which services go to Camarillo as opposed to Oxnard?
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:48:12 From Kendall Lousen (NBVC - CPLO) to Everyone: Is DOA looking at annexing more land for master plan build-out?
7. May 13 Zoom at 16:49:39 From Toni's iPad to Everyone: Are you in discussions with both councils in Oxnard and Camarillo
8. May 13 Zoom at 16:53:24 From Kendall Lousen (NBVC - CPLO) to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates (Direct Message): Is DOA looking at annexing more land (prospective build-out) or avigation easements for the VC Airport master plan update?
9. May 13 Zoom at 16:57:25 From Jill to Everyone: How much are we paying for this master plan costing us? Just because we travel doesn't mean we want a big airport in our backyard.
10. May 13 Zoom at 17:06:32 From Scott Barer to Everyone: There's little to no community representation on the Master Planning Committee.
11. May 13 Zoom at 17:07:21 From iPhone to Everyone: What private entities are also paying for the study?
12. May 13 Zoom at 17:07:15 From Colleen D. to Everyone: Camarillo has a take-off curfew
13. May 13 Zoom at 17:08:15 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: Oxnard has TNG time restrictions, noise and altitude limits
14. May 13 Zoom at 17:09:06 From iPad to Everyone: Colleen D. That take off curfew is ignored and not enforced.
15. May 13 Zoom at 17:09:54 From Steven Tannehill to Everyone: Oxnard TNG guidelines are almost never adhered to.
16. May 13 Zoom at 17:13:35 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: Also, the Joint Powers Agreement does NOT prohibit private operations, which Amazon Prime Air is.
17. May 13 Zoom at 17:13:40 From iPhone to Everyone: When did the midnight curfew happen?
18. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:52 From iPhone to Everyone: Who asked for the service?
19. May 13 Zoom at 17:21:39 From Bruce Little to Everyone: Since this process is federally funded and mandated, perhaps Congresswoman Julia Brownley should become involved. Or is she already?
20. May 13 Zoom at 17:22:59 From Alan Glen to Everyone: Can we add Community representatives to the PAC
21. May 13 Zoom at 17:23:56 From Toni's iPad to Everyone: Will these comments and questions be shared with county of ventura, Oxnard and Camarillo city councils? How will the public be notified of future meeting dates and updates? Not everyone gets the paper.
22. May 13 Zoom at 17:23:58 From Bruce Little to Everyone: Is a representative of the local press on this zoom. Where they invited?
23. May 13 Zoom at 17:26:45 From Nick to Everyone: When is our next gathering?
24. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:49 From Dave K. to Everyone: Where is the last master plan to compare to?
25. Friday, May 14, 2021 6:25:06 AM via email - lora.j.harney - Why are you hiding info and making it hard to find? Why are you trying to sneak this through before people can complain?
26. Friday, May 14, 2021 10:28:17 AM - DOMINICK F. MILLS - Please consider this email to be formal written notice to provide our office with copies of all documents, notice, and further information on the "Master Plan" that you are working on. Electronic copies are appreciated.

Clearly yesterday there was an issue with the community receiving reasonable notice and an opportunity to be heard as well as prepare and review any materials. Most of the residents on average received 3 hours' notice; insiders appear to have known about it for longer. From the quality of materials provided including false choice questions, compound and complex phrasing, and the like there was clearly confusion with several members not voting. Additionally, a review of the posted Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) lists several individuals/entities with a vested interest in the project proceeding to ultimate plan. There are no homeowners, homeowner associations, renters, rental associations, small business owners, environmental representatives, or the like who equally have an interest and voice in the proposed master plan. How was this PAC chosen? When was the request for members sent out? Please provide copies of these documents for review. Lastly, what is the proposed schedule for the next 16 months? It is posted in vague terms on the website, was ignored in the meeting yesterday, and needs to be widely publicized so all voices can be heard.

27. Jill Rieger 05/15/21 - I would like to know who prepared and submitted the grant request for the Master Plan study in Ventura County. Why was this company granted the contract to do the study if they are not even from CA let alone our county?
28. Thursday, May 13, 2021 5:30:23 PM - Jill Rieger via website - This type of meeting should be widely publicized to the communities it will impact. Multiple notices have to appear on public media/notification sites/acorn, etc. Finding out about the meeting two days before from Sustainable Camarillo is not appropriate. Please provide specific dates/times/agendas for these meetings. I want to make sure our communities are informed.
29. Thursday, May 20, 2021 9:43:18 PM – Tad Dougherty via website - I retired from DOA in 2006. Now it's 2021. Obviously, things change. In the past, we did master plans, individually for Camarillo, and separately for Oxnard. Now I see reference to Ventura County Airport Master Plan. So, are there going to be 2 separate master plans prepared? Or, is there going to be 1 master plan that covers both airports. Or, some other report presentation.? Thanks.
30. May 13 Zoom at 17: May 13 Zoom at 16:58 From Jill to Everyone: When was the last master plan revision? (A: May 13 Zoom at 17: May 13 Zoom at 17:05 From Joe Teichert to Everyone: 2011)

**Response: The master plan is being prepared by Coffman Associates, Inc. Coffman Associates, a national aviation consulting firm specializing in airport planning studies, was selected by Ventura County following a qualifications-based selection process. The master planning process is mandated by the FAA to be conducted every 7 to 10 years to ensure the airport's planning documents are reflective of current conditions and meet FAA design standards. The master plan helps the FAA and Ventura County plan for future capital projects both in terms of financing as well as staging to ensure the airport is meeting the needs of its users and to ensure the facilities are operating safely according to FAA standards. No private funding is involved in the master planning process. The master plan study process has just begun and no determinations about how either airport will be development have been made. The remaining three public workshops will each present new information as the study progresses and will provide opportunities for public feedback. All draft study materials will be made available on the study website at: <https://venturacounty.airportstudy.net/master-plan-documents/>. Master plans were last completed for the Camarillo Airport in 2011 and for Oxnard Airport in 2004. This master plan is focused primarily on the Camarillo Airport; but, as it relates to the Ventura County airports system, will also consider Oxnard Airport in its evaluations. Any outcomes**

from this master plan that support development at Oxnard Airport will be conducted under a separate study.

Previous master plan studies for Camarillo Airport and Oxnard Airport can be downloaded from the Ventura County Airports Document Library at: <https://www.ventura.org/airports/document-library/>.

According to Ventura County Ordinance Code No. 4342, *An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 4040 Governing the Use and Operations of Camarillo and Oxnard Airports*, Section 6506-17, and the FAA's 5010 Airport Master Record, which will be updated to reflect the ordinance, there are no hours of operation restrictions at Oxnard Airport. However, the airport encourages pilots to observe voluntary noise abatement procedures to minimize noise impacts on the surrounding community. Oxnard noise abatement procedures can be found here: <https://www.ventura.org/airports/noise-abatement-procedures/#>

How the first public information workshop was advertised is addressed in the response to the previous question group. Future workshops will be held later in the day to allow for greater participation by people who work during the day.

A planning advisory committee (PAC) has been established consisting of local community leaders and stakeholders. The PAC will provide guidance and feedback on draft master plan documents. PAC meetings take place at key milestones in the planning process. Members of the PAC are listed on the project website at: <https://venturacounty.airportstudy.net/public-involvement/>.

---

#### General Questions

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:11:09 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: Are any of you pilots?
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:18:32 From iPhone to Everyone: How about Oprah's planes? You know her hanger going up?
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:18:40 From Katharine to Everyone: why do we just have to keep getting MORE--people, traffic, airplanes?
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:43 From Katharine to Everyone: Will our concerns REALLY BE LISTENED to, or will the government wants to do will do???
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:42:25 From DAVE STEPHENSON to Everyone: What would it take to reduce the runway length at Camarillo?
6. May 13 Zoom at 17:20:42 From Bob Merrilees to Everyone: The Navy and the FAA have an exemplary working relationship. Where would I find information on the Navy's objection to VC airports?
7. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 3:13:31 PM - Alec Castelan via website - have recently started my journey in aviation and subsequently took interest in our local airports and their futures as well. After reading and understanding what a masterplan is and what it is not, I wish to express some thoughts. I support the possible expansion and looking into services for cargo and passenger baring aircraft. I think a good neighbor to watch would be Santa Barbara with the addition of Southwest routes being added. The addition of the Mian Plaza, extreme ease of access to the 101 freeway, easy access to a plethora of retailers this seems like a no brainer. My concern is how and where CMA would manage the logistics of this. Would new hangars / terminals be built? Cargo would be interesting with the multitude of Amazon facilities in the area, however a large distaste has been growing towards Amazon and their slave-wage / anti-union actions. It

leaves a sour taste in the mouth. Emerging technologies, no comment on drones as I have no interest or knowledge to form an opinion. Electric planes have been very interesting as well as the use of biofuel or other cleaner fuel resources would be a point of interest.

**Response: The project team is made up of individuals with backgrounds in airport planning, environmental planning, noise and land use compatibility planning, and airport management and operations. Some team members have undergone flight training and have a pilot license. The County of Ventura Department of Airports is seeking feedback throughout the master plan study process to better determine airport needs and direction in order to continue to maintain and development Camarillo and Oxnard Airports. As part of the master plan process, a set of aviation demand forecasts will determine facility requirement needs that will help to establish airfield and landside standards associated with runway safety and design as well as hangar storage space, aircraft parking, and terminal area needs. The master plan is currently in its initial phase and this analysis is being undertaken in the coming weeks.**

---

#### Comments related to Noise

1. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:19:38 From iPad to Everyone: I just love it when jet aircraft make early morning landings!! Nothing like being shaken out of bed at 2AM!
2. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:01 From iPad to Everyone: Air traffic control ignores the property owners noise complaints.
3. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 16:38 From Joseph Medina to Everyone: Ritzel - Great Question! Noise from flight path is a huge problem in Old Town.
4. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:00 From Alan Glen to Everyone: Obviously there is pent up frustration/concern about noise and flight patterns related to these airports. Thus to suggest that this study will not address this causes even greater concern about any development!
5. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:18:21 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: I am a resident of both Camarillo and Sherman Oaks. This is what we were told about Van Nuys Airport and now there are flights going over 24-7 with a voluntary curfew that is not enforced. They are loud and low and there are two lawsuits- a resident lawsuit and a lawsuit City of Los Angeles lawsuit against the FAA.
6. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:24:45 From Rulla Hernandez to Everyone: Please remember the noise is not just from plans from Camarillo Airport but also from the Base. Those plans use our skies about us to practice. Additional air traffic would just be horrific
7. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:28:07 From iPad to Everyone: Believe me I know that the airport activity is going up. The plans destroy any hope of quit or sleep.
8. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:28:26 From Colleen D. to Everyone: Yes, we did see increase in business aviation in Camarillo; I live in the flight path and cannot hold conversations outside (or with my windows open) when the jet engine planes land.
9. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:28:59 From iPad to Everyone: Yes, Colleen D and noise complaints to the airport are ignored.
10. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:29:07 From Nick to Everyone: This noise discussion is not entirely accurate...
11. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:31:28 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: If you think this is not going to add more noise your only kidding yourself

12. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:32:44 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: We are correct to raise noise issues now. They want to wait until it is too late and say there were no complaints.
13. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:33:14 From Miriam M. to Everyone: I live one mile from Oxnard airport and the noise has decreased since I moved into my home 23 years ago.
14. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:37:09 From Jonathan Ullman to Everyone: Planes are always loud.
15. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:37:17 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: Unfortunately, I have seen first hand that the airports do not do everything possible to mitigate noise and negative impacts on the surrounding community. Flights roar over our home 24-7 loud and low.
16. May 13 Zoom at May 13 Zoom at 16:37:21 From Colleen D. to Everyone: I have lived in Old Town Camarillo for 20 years-- the noise is LOUDER and the flights #s have increased.
17. May 13 Zoom at 16:38:27 From cindy to Everyone: Has anyone listened to noise at LAX - not that quiet. Our property values will drop as well.
18. May 13 Zoom at 16:42:12 From Jonathan Ullman to Everyone: This talking point about silent planes is entirely misleading. Every airport expansion talk starts off with this premise.
19. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:06 From Colleen D. to Everyone: The service provider which works on engines at the airport: Although the airport tells me that they are within noise limits, when my windows are open during the summertime, they wake me up, even though I live greater than a mile from the airport (and probably closer to 1.5/2miles, as I do not know where their hanger is located).
20. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:10 From iPhone to Everyone: For those of us that live in the flight path, a good job. They are absolutely "NOT" doing a good job. From small planes to jets is out of control.
21. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:36 From iPad to Everyone: The kindness and consideration of the operators is well known to the surrounding public by loss of sleep and broken windows!
22. May 13 Zoom at 16:47:07 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: Maybe Camarillo Airport will not be doing a good job if they employ Next Gen as they are suggesting, as noise and pollution will increase exponentially. And there's no going back. I can see the windows on the planes going over. And good luck having a conversation outside
23. May 13 Zoom at 16:51:42 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: We already have TNGs that are too low and tight which are ever the noise levels.
24. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:46 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: So if you don't or didn't like aircraft noise you should not have purchased a home near the airport. Camarillo was a USAF base long before many of you moved into the area. So the question is not the aircraft at present which you may not like.
25. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:56 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: It was unbearable in the flight path - so loud.
26. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:25 From Colleen D. to Everyone: @Michael M: I lived in the SaMo flight path, and I voluntarily bought a house in the Camarillo flight path. The point is, the noise and frequency of flights has exponentially increased in the past 20 years.
27. May 13 Zoom at 17:05:25 From Bob Guthrie to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates (Direct Message): It might be a win win if the Cty restricted noise levels to a point that the old Lear 35 is excluded. Eliminating those flights could be offset by a fewer number of commercial flights. This would give benefit to all citizens (at least those that fly) while reducing total flights and noise.

Currently, other than some indirect economic benefit, there is very limited value to most citizens.

28. May 13 Zoom at 17:11:33 From Howard Ogle to Everyone: FYI, Propeller driven aircraft are pretty quiet during landing.
29. May 13 Zoom at 17:23:52 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: I don't but I fly where I take the noise level in consideration for all the neighbors of Camarillo.
30. Sunday, May 16, 2021 9:50:08 PM - Etchehoury Jeanne - I vigorously oppose the proposed expansion of our Camarillo airport. With Burbank and LAX nearby there's plenty of access to air travel. There's not too many places left that has the small town appeal that Camarillo has. There's way too much noise coming from the airport now!! Don't add to it!!
31. Sunday, May 16, 2021 5:52:23 PM - Sharon Dorsey via website - WE have lived in Old Town for 50 years. There are more commercial airlines and private planes every year. They fly directly over our house and it is very hard to hear the television or carry on a conversation (even with the doors closed)-especially the Long-Ez that is fast & loud. I was told by a pilot some years ago that the planes & jets are suppose to fly over the fields not over houses when they land.
32. Sunday, May 16, 2021 4:44:08 PM - Edna Moore via website - I am working at a nonprofit in Goleta (near the intersection of Storke and Hollister). Meetings are currently held outdoors and when planes fly overhead here all conversation stops as no one can hear anyone else speak. If we are working indoors with the doors and windows open, we experience the same noise level as outside. I don't want to see the same thing happen in Camarillo. Please consider the residents of Camarillo and surrounding areas.
33. Sunday, May 16, 2021 3:41:00 PM - Lora Harney via website - I am opposed to larger jets landing at either Camarillo Airport or Oxnard Airport. The noise would impact thousands of residents, lower property values and lower quality of life in the County. I bet Amazon is behind the push to bring in cargo jets, I have no interest of losing my quality of living here so Amazon and other businessmen can line their pockets with cash. The noise with the new LAX landing pattern has already diminished our ability to sleep undisturbed at night and create headaches for us during the day. I can just imagine the never end sound if you add another landing and take off pattern over our houses.
34. Sunday, May 16, 2021 12:18:11 PM - Janet Sheppard via website - Please no expansion! I already have enough planes flying over my home in Old Town. No cargo and commercial planes! Please!
35. Monday, May 17, 2021 4:32:19 PM – Janet Bender via website - I am against any growth in the frequency and size of the aircraft using Camarillo Airport. The noise overhead is frequent and disturbing. It has changed for the worse since when we first built our home here 24 years ago. We are zoned residential yet have to endure low, loud and fume emitting aircraft directly overhead. Thank you
36. Monday, May 17, 2021 3:14:42 PM - Jason Eli via website - Hi, airport noise is already a thing with the planes we have at the Airport. I could NOT imagine having large jets. I thought it was understood Amazon wouldn't be having their large jets come into Camarillo Airport but now I'm hearing they're trying? I'd be incredibly angry if that were the case. I'd rather have no airport in Camarillo than have a steady stream of large jet noise overhead, we're all in the flight path. And I like the airport, it just doesn't bring a whole lot to me or my family and would in no way be worth the noise.

37. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 11:15:18 AM - Linda Hansen via website - It is with deep concern that Camarillo is considering expanding its flight operation to include cargo jets and possibly commercial airlines. The flight path to NAS Magu and Hueneme are directly over my house. If the commercial traffic follows the same flight path, then we are penalized with more noise, decreased real estate values, and aviation fuel contaminants of our yards. It's bad enough the Kfir jets shake our walls and the F-18's wake us up. I lived in Santa Monica where the jets took off every three minutes. UCLA did a pollution study and found the jets indeed produced cancer causing chemicals to me and my family when we breathe the air. This is in addition to the residue left on our patio furniture and plants. There's no escaping from the pollution! Both Burbank airport and LAX have added runways in the last two years for increased traffic. It is not necessary to add this air traffic to Camarillo.
38. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 1:41:54 PM - James Kester via website - I am the HOA president for Camarillo Springs, representing over 500 senior residents. Our residents are unanimously against the expansion of flights and business into the Camarillo Airport. Not only will it pose a danger to our residents, but it will increase the noise level significantly as the larger and more frequent flights approach low, and directly over our community. Currently, we endure a large number of helicopter flights over Camarillo Springs, which are low and noisy. In addition, the existing private and commercial charter planes ( both jet and prop) come in at low altitudes for their landing approach. Add to that the military jets approaching Pt. Mugu that have no noise suppression.. and we already have an airport noise problem. Our 500 plus senior residents deserve a quiet and safe airspace above them. We endure the current noise level, but any increase in number or volume would cause distress to many vulnerable seniors living in Camarillo Springs. Not to mention the added pollution over our heads. Please consider the well being of our over 500 residents, and do not expand the current airport ...particularly to larger, more frequent commercial/freight flights.
39. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 5:11:30 PM - Kate Blumenthal via website - Currently I live in the Village at the Park community in Camarillo, where air traffic is a daily reality. I've experienced just barely getting my baby to sleep only to have her awakened by a passing aircraft, the Navy Jets are particularly vexing. But, luckily, they are infrequent. My family and I are able to enjoy our outdoor space with limited interruptions from air traffic noise. This is why this expansion plan troubles me so. I understand that as a Camarillo resident, particularly in my neighborhood, air traffic is a part of life, but at it's current rate it is tolerable. To increase air traffic will considerably affect my family and my health and well-being, as well as property values for Camarillo. Noise pollution can cause serious Heath problems, up to cardiac arrest. It is recognized as a contributor to health decline by multiple, reputable health organizations. Do not expand air traffic in Ventura County.
40. Thursday, May 13, 2021 12:00:43 AM - Lauren De La Torre via website - I have huge concerns about possible changes to the Camarillo Airport Master Plan in regards to increased cargo traffic and possible commercial traffic. I have been a homeowner in Old Town Camarillo for 33 years. The last couple of years there have been a noticeable larger jet traffic right over my home at any time of day. When they pass over my home it causes life to stop since the noise is deafening. My home is right in the flight path of the airport. I am not pleased that the proposal of added cargo traffic and possible commercial flights may be possible if the master plan is approved as it is presented. I would like to see the plan rewritten to not allow this type of new traffic. Keep our

local airport at the same level of traffic as the current plan with perhaps less private jets because of their added noise pollution. The jets traffic causes the quality of life to diminish in neighborhoods that are in the airports flight path.

41. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:39:59 AM - Beth Sanchez via website - I am against cargo planes, commercial flights or any large noisy aircraft using our Camarillo airport. The noise and pollution will destroy our city and our historic Old Town.
42. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:48:35 AM - Cassandra Nance via website - I live in old town Camarillo in the airport path and I say no to taking cargo airplanes and bigger passenger planes. As it is we deal with a lot of air traffic noise and don't want any more airplanes or noise. We enjoy living in our quite little community over here. Please vote no on behalf of the citizens in this area.
43. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:59:30 AM – Judith White via website - I strongly oppose allowing larger planes, commercial or otherwise, to start utilizing the Camarillo airport. It is a quality of life issue. Once a plan such as this is allowed, it cannot be rescinded, even if it becomes obvious that a mistake was made. People have moved to Camarillo to get away from noise pollution and other LA-like problems. It is obvious that big money is behind this proposal.
44. Thursday, May 13, 2021 11:27:23 AM - Cindy Richmond via website - I'm a resident in Old Town and right under the flight path of the airport. If we allow large commercial and cargo jets to utilize the Camarillo Airport it will not only affect our property values but also our health from all the exhaust coming down right over our homes. We will no longer be able to enjoy our outdoor activities let alone have peace and quiet inside our homes as these large jets will rattle our entire house. I believe it will also affect our newly renovated Old Town Blvd businesses. No one wants to enjoy a meal with huge jets flying overhead; don't forget our Outlets which bring in a ton of tax revenue. I believe if this is allowed to happen it will only affect us in a negative way. I know for myself, it will force us to move and we'll have to take a huge hit financially when it comes to our property value.
45. Thursday, May 13, 2021 12:48:55 PM - Gina Erickson via website - As an old town resident I am really against adding larger planes to Camarillo Airport's flight plans! We have enough of that noise with the present flight plan!! It's not fair to the animal shelter residents, either, those poor animals having to put up with that kind of disturbance! Please reconsider these plans and look elsewhere, such as Oxnard Airport, that is not surrounded by residential homes and lonely animals needing peace and quiet.
46. Thursday, May 13, 2021 1:21:12 PM - Robert Valles via website - I strongly oppose any expansion to Camarillo Airport. Wealthy jet owners will pay handsomely for this access and will not care about the noise and havoc they will wreak on our community. Once Camarillo is receiving more revenue from the airport expansion, this will not be reversible, and Camarillo will be Van Nuys. Keep Camarillo Airport as is and be happy with the tax revenue from the outlet stores.
47. Thursday, May 13, 2021 2:02:44 PM - Nicole Janelle via website - I live a few blocks for the Oxnard airport, directly above the landing pathway. I do not support expansion of the airport and/or increased flight traffic. The Oxnard airport is bordered on two sides by residential communities. Already, plane traffic is frequent and loud, especially on the weekend when flight students practice landings over and over again. I am grateful that planes do not routinely take off over our house, but we get a number of jets landing during the week and it's deafening when they pass over. I hope you will take into account noise and pollution mitigation measures and

that there will be some sort of direct neighborhood community benefit should any increases to airport traffic be made going forward.

48. Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:45:45 PM - Jon Burgh via website - We don't NOT want the airport to be expanded. We already have a lot of loud noise from the medium to small airplanes. And we definitely do not need more traffic.
49. Thursday, May 13, 2021 4:53:48 PM - Pam Smith via website - I do not support the expansion. We are becoming more and more like Orange County everyday. There is already too much development in Camarillo - we do not need more noise and air pollution.
50. Thursday, May 13, 2021 6:44:56 PM - Lori Nemiroff via website - MY INPUT FOR THE MASTER PLAN IS THAT I AM OPPOSED TO ANY EXPANSION OF OPERATIONS THAT WOULD INCREASE THE AIR TRAFFIC IN/OUT OF CAMARILLO AIRPORT THAT WOULD RESULT IN AN INCREASE IN NOISE IN THE SURROUNDING RESIDENTIAL AREAS. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE AIR TRAFFIC BOUNDARIES SET FURTHER FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF RULES THAT PROHIBIT AIRPLANES FROM FLYING OVER OR NEAR RESIDENTIAL AREAS. WHILE POSSIBLY OUTSIDE OF YOUR JURISDICTION, IT WOULD ALSO BE APPRECIATED IF THE SHERIFF HELICOPTERS THAT FLY BETWEEN CAMARILLO AND SANTA PAULA AREA ALSO AVOID FLYING OVER OR ALONG THE BOUNDARY OF THE RESIDENTIAL AREA ON THE NORTHWEST SIDE OF SPANISH HILLS IN NONEMERGENCY SITUATIONS. THEY ARE EXTREMELY LOUD. I AM NOTICING THE NOISE MORE NOW THAT I AM WORKING FROM HOME MORE OFTEN. I DO WANT TO EXTEND MY APPRECIATION TO THE AIRPORT FOLKS FOR ALLOWING SPEED LIMIT RACING TO RUN A LOCAL AUTOCROSS AT THE AIRPORT FOR A WHILE, THOUGH I UNDERSTAND THIS ARRANGEMENT HAS ENDED DUE TO THE AIRPORT NEEDING THE SPACE FOR ITS OPERATIONS.
51. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:21:20 PM - Robina Kerr via website - I moved to Camarillo in 2017, mostly to get AWAY from city noise and air traffic noise. I am certainly not a fan of making Camarillo Airport commercial. We already get enough noise from private jets and the occasional military aircraft, adding commercial airlines and freight carriers would be extremely detrimental to the peaceful and rural way of life Ventura County has to offer. When it comes down to it, Ventura County wants to make more money. i get that. But please remember that WE are Ventura County too and the added noise will destroy the idealic town of Camarillo.
52. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:39:44 PM - Joanne Center via website - This is a small community and the environment damage as well as noise pollution that would result from commercial planes flying into the Camarillo airport would be extremely detrimental to both health, property values, and way of life
53. Friday, May 14, 2021 8:43:38 AM - Lilian Buabeng via website - As a resident of Camarillo, I strongly oppose the idea of the airport expansion because not only will it affect our quality of lives but it will also increase the environmental pollution in the county and reduce my home value. I live close to the airport and cannot bear the noise that this will cause. LAX and Burbank are close enough and as someone who travels a lot for work, I have no problem driving to these airports to fly out.
54. Friday, May 14, 2021 9:41:31 AM - Tami Cobb via website - Please do not allow commercial flights. The noise from some of the planes now is awful. Some of the private planes and larger private jets literally shake my historic home.
55. May 13 Zoom at 17:05:25 From Bob Guthrie to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates(Direct Message): It might be a win win if the Cty restricted noise levels to a point that the old Lear 35 is

excluded. Eliminating those flights could be offset by a fewer number of commercial flights. This would give benefit to all citizens (at least those that fly) while reducing total flights and noise. Currently, other than some indirect economic benefit, there is very limited value to most citizens.

56. May 13 Zoom at 16:45:06 From iPad to Everyone: Anybody remember the servicing of the Piaggio P 180 Avanti at 3AM that woke up all of Camarillo?
57. May 13 Zoom at 16:53:42 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: Flight take off and landings are fine, it's the TNG patterns that are annoying, Anyone interest in buying my house?
58. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:45:07 AM - Starr Wilson via website - This airport was never intended for use by large jets. Please don't destroy our quality of life here in quiet Camarillo by expanding the type of aircraft accepted here
59. Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:47:37 AM – Kathy McGrath via website - If you would like Camarillo to become Van Nuys Airport, with jets flying all hours over the city loud and low, ruining any small town atmosphere that remains, and allowing millionaire jet owners and cargo jets unfettered access, by all means expand it. Let me tell you from experience, there is no going back. I am a resident of both Sherman Oaks and Camarillo, and am uniquely qualified to comment on this matter. The expansion of Van Nuys Airport (a community airport like Camarillo) has devastated the cities of Encino, Sherman Oaks, and Studio City. There are jets flying low and loud at all hours because the voluntary curfew is an unenforced joke. There are two lawsuits now pending against the FAA- one from residents and the other from the City of LA- regarding the nonstop jet noise assault on the communities. The powers that be would be wise to vehemently oppose any expansion and fight like mad to protect what Camarillo has now.
60. Thursday, May 13, 2021 2:08:04 PM - Frances McGrath via website - I have lived in Camarillo over fifty years, and want to oppose ANY expansion of the Camarillo airport. We were told years ago that the airport would only allow certain size planes to land, but in the following years we have witnessed the size and frequency of planes coming and going over the city. I may also mention the noise from Pt. Mugu with jets screaming on their way to the base which is deafening. Please leave the airport alone, and not do any expansion or listen to the people with the "big bucks" promising all sort of good things which will forever change our city, and not for the better, for sure. Things have expanded enough in the city over the years so I ask you to not do anything more with the airport, and leave it with the nice little restaurant that we enjoy, watching the small planes come in to land, but not hearing the ear shattering noise of larger and more frequent arrivals.
61. Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:23:18 PM - Steven Tannehill via website - I head the Channel Islands Neighborhood Council Airport Noise & Safety Committee - I was not notified of this meeting until a few hrs ago! The neighborhoods south of the Airport are in an uproar over training flights using OXR for touch & go trainings and overflying the harbor, homes, schools all day long at low altitudes in older single engine planes. We are calling in over 100 complaints a month. The area south of the airport is no longer suitable for these training flights. There remains plenty of open space west and north of the airport that can be used for training flights. We ask that OXR implement right traffic for all closed pattern work which will direct these planes over that open space instead of over the harbor, homes, shopping & schools. Safer for everyone including the pilots.

62. Thursday, May 13, 2021 4:49:21 PM - Mary Anne Rooney via website- Hi, we live in Nyeland Acres which is at the end of the runway pattern in Camarillo. We experience planes, even very large planes, that fly over the houses in the neighborhood. Not only is this loud, but potential very hazardous to our residents. There have been occasions where planes have had emergencies and had to return to the airport and made a very low flying turn directly over head. So low that we could see the pilots in the airplane. While I support aircraft coming out of Camarillo, I do not support the airplanes flying over our community. Our community is a Disadvantaged Unincorporated Community that needs to have social and racial justice through the airport master plan.
63. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:59 From Steven Tannehill to Everyone: Read the airports mission statements - doing everything reasonably possible to mitigate noise and negative impacts on the surrounding communities is a core responsibility of the airports
64. May 13 Zoom at 17:01:06 From Bruce Little to Everyone: The County already has approved flight paths. They are not being held to.
65. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:54 From iPad to Everyone: Traffic control deliberately directs planes over the homes!!!!
66. May 13 Zoom at 17:06:11 From Bob Merrilees to Everyone: Many airports have a curfew. An example: San Jose CA has a curfew which fines operators \$2500 for operations after 11:30pm. Burbank has a curfew. Ventura County airports can impose a curfew if it's warranted.
67. May 13 Zoom at 17:10:11 From iPhone to Everyone: The times are ignored. There's no one in the tower after 10:00 pm. The companies that own the jets would rather pay a fine, then abide by the rules and regulations.
68. May 13 Zoom at 17:12:05 From Bob Merrilees to Everyone: CMA curfew - midnight to 5:00am for departures. No curfew on arrivals.
69. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:28 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: I have the airport complaint line on my phones favorites, does that tell you how often I call to complain when TNGs are outside of restriction.
70. May 13 Zoom at 17: May 13 Zoom at 16:34 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: Agreed. They say they welcome the input, but it is lip service. They will ignore it and no changes are made. I have attended 6 in person meetings and nothing has changed. There have been an 1000% increase in complaints, but the FAA claims there have been no changes in flight pattern. When the lawsuits were filed, that forced some conversation.

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---

#### **Comments related to Air Emissions/Environmental Impacts**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:15:47 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: We need to stop focusing on economic gain, and start to focus on environmental impact. We are destroying our environments with economic gain.
2. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:50 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: lets just keep polluting, and killing our wildlife, while we poison our environment with all this economic progress.
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:19:19 From Katharine to Everyone: We must protect our precious environment rather than stressing it

4. May 13 Zoom at 16:19:40 From Nick to Everyone: Should we be encouraging this environmentally hazardous, dangerous, and elitist activity?
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:31 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Lets keep our area clean, environmentally safe, and a place for homeowners and residents to enjoy the freedom of peace and quiet and lower pollution. Economics is not the propriety on earth with water so low and tempertures ready to soar.
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:01 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: Santa Monica Airport Air Quality Study (2020, Tufts University):  
[https://www.smgov.net/departments/airport/agendas/2020/20200224/TuftsFinalReport\\_02102020.pdf](https://www.smgov.net/departments/airport/agendas/2020/20200224/TuftsFinalReport_02102020.pdf)
7. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:27 From Steven Tannehill to Everyone: Lead is a big issue - should not be minimized - these small piston planes are in many areas the #1 environmental source of lead
8. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:31 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Lets focus on conservation of our neighborhoods, our habitat and our resources.
9. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:22 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Lets be conservationists, not economic gainers.
10. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:29 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: PUT A NEW AIRPORT OUTSIDE OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS. STOP POLLUTION OUR ALREADY DEVELOPED AREAS WITH NOISE, CARS AND MORE POLLUTION.
11. May 13 Zoom at 16:39:48 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: We would have fewer fires and environmental and health emergencies if we protected our soil, carbon footprint, and air quality....and less need for "emergency cargo planes."
12. May 13 Zoom at 16:40:30 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: The real issue is not noise. That is a red herring - federal law has already preempted local control of noise. The real issue that the local govt. can control is emissions. Ventura County is already one of the worst air quality locations in the USA. A large jet (like a Prime Air Boeing 767) emits many times more emissions than thousands of cars. By emissions, I don't mean Greenhouse gasses, I'm referring to Nitrous Oxide and the like.
13. May 13 Zoom at 16:41:37 From DAVE STEPHENSON to Everyone: Look at the air quality impact of limiting airplane size in Santa Monica. Size goes up, air quality goes down.
14. May 13 Zoom at 16:45:56 From Nick to Everyone: This is all hypothetical "profit". The hazmat/waste left behind won't be.
15. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:28 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: @Howard, yes, we need to change commercial ag practices too. <https://kissthegroundmovie.com/>
16. May 13 Zoom at 16:47:30 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: The entire concept is only about money - no environmental impact, or human wellbeing is being discussed or considered in this "study Process Masterplan. ts all economics - at whatg cost?????
17. May 13 Zoom at 16:48:29 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: just turning our beautiful multi use land into commercial development with continued building and financial growth. Say goodbye to our beautiful communities!!
18. May 13 Zoom at 16:50:57 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: 20 years from now, it will all be developed. ONe giant pavement with noise, pollution and INCREASED NATURAL DISASTERS. We are destroying our natural habitat, land, air and sea!!!!

19. May 13 Zoom at 16:53:19 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: This is so disgusting, really - no one representing conservation and local/global impact on our environments are represented.
20. May 13 Zoom at 16:54:23 From Alan Glen to Everyone: In order for the County to adopt the Master Plan, they are required to do a Programmatic NEPA/CEQA document.
21. May 13 Zoom at 16:56:59 From EE to Everyone: The only breeding colonies of California brown pelicans in the western United States are within Channel Islands National Park on West Anacapa and Santa Barbara islands. The preservation of this essential habitat along with the monitoring of this species is critical for the continued health of the California brown pelican population. -- Cool lets put a commercial airport there!
22. May 13 Zoom at 16:58:39 From Gloria Miele to Everyone: For environmental impact, I'd be interested in seeing a comparison of impact of driving to other airports vs. using a more local option. I realize that is not today's topic, but I hope that is part of the report.
23. May 13 Zoom at 16:59:31 From Colleen D. to Everyone: Not just noise-- also particulate pollution!
24. May 13 Zoom at 17:21:40 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: I don't have a raise hand option. The traffic issue is significant with the huge Amazon Fulfillment Center being built on Del Norte Blvd. To my knowledge, no Impact Study was publicized on its impact which will be merged into any expansion of Camarillo Airport. There was no EIR for the Fulfillment Center since that is just inside the City of Oxnard.
25. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 8:50:54 PM - Aram Demerjian via website - I chose Camarillo for the climate, cleaner air, and serenity during my retirement years. Any heavy size plane will bring noise, pollution, and overpopulation. Please stop the extra activity to the airport. Let us breath some clean air and keep the lung diseases down.
26. May 13 Zoom at 16:43:30 From Howard Ogle to Everyone: Maybe we should shutdown all the farming. The biggest source of air polution in Ventura County is dust from the farms. If we stop eating we won't need farms. If we don't need business, we don't need airports. ...just saying.
27. May 13 Zoom at 17:19:43 From Anthony Mireles to Everyone: Electric vehicle take off will be better for the environment,reduce fwy traffic and provide transportation to LAX
28. Sunday, May 16, 2021 9:14:15 PM - Tim Turpin via website - I'm very much opposed to any expansion of the Camarillo Airport. As a 30+ year resident of our "Old Town" neighborhood I appreciate the current beauty of our city. We have learned to live with the current volume of air traffic, and occasional irresponsible pilots. Increased air traffic would undoubtedly lead to continued escalation and eventually a city that resembles Burbank with its air pollution problems and traffic issues. I will be doing everything I legally can as a citizen to stop the aforementioned scenario from occurring.
29. Thursday, May 13, 2021 12:10:41 AM - robert valles via website - I've been employed at Los Angeles airport for 8 years and know the impact to local communities from expansion of an airport. Studies have shown pollution from the exhaust of commercial planes have higher cancer rates in residence near airports with increased aircraft traffic , higher noise pollution and additional traffic impacts to a small town infrastructure. The taxes generated do not cover the expenses needed to mitigate this expansion. Oxnard airport has the room for expansion and won't impact the residences. Camarillo can generate higher tax revenue elsewhere in the city without impacting the residences. Just remember why you moved here; a clean quiet small town that's a hidden jewel!

30. Thursday, May 13, 2021 12:14:48 PM - Dean Richmond via website - The plans for more large aircraft traffic coming, along with Amazon coming to town is not progress. The area can not handle MORE congestion. I live in Old town under the flight path and under the existing dust from the planes, fields and freeway. I worked under the LAX flight path for years and had my car covered in black soot every day. My lugs are not looking forward to the "MASTER PLAN"! Please Don't make Camarillo suck as bad as the majority of the state.
31. May 13 Zoom at 16:44:53 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: Santa Monica Airport Air Quality Study (2020, Tufts University):  
[https://www.smgov.net/departments/airport/agendas/2020/20200224/TuftsFinalReport\\_02102020.pdf](https://www.smgov.net/departments/airport/agendas/2020/20200224/TuftsFinalReport_02102020.pdf)
32. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:29 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: Santa Monica had numerous incidents over the years with their airport being close to schools, residents and other sensitive areas.
33. Friday, May 14, 2021 10:12:15 AM via email- Natalie Curtis - Hello, I would respectfully like to express my dismay, and sentiments against commercial airlines, or/and increased air traffic in the area. I recently purchased a home, almost 1 million dollars, and air pollution, noise, a busy airport was not part of the deal. This growth would be unfair to home owners and not good for public health. These are not things proposed to us at the time of our purchase.
34. Friday, May 21, 2021 8:09:13 AM – Veronica Resendiz via email: I am opposed to airport expansion in Camarillo and Oxnard. Airport expansion will diminish the quality of life in both cities. There will also be environmental and health impacts. Additionally, devaluation of homes. NO to airport expansion in Camarillo and Oxnard.
35. Wednesday, May 26, 2021 10:56 AM – Alan Young via website - Too many aircraft occupy our skies now. Think of the environmental impact of MORE air pollution! Thanks.

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---

#### **Comments related to Amazon**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:26:20 From Steve Nash to Everyone: When Amazon realizes what a nightmare the 101 really is they will be a major advocate for airport expansion.
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:43 From Jill to Everyone: Amazon needs to make more money for one of the richest men in the world So he can buy another \$500 million yacht.
3. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:23 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: Any analysis of the impact of Amazon cargo aircraft has to factor in the thousands of employees commuting to and from the huge "Fulfillment Center" being built by Amazon on Del Norte. It is in the City of Oxnard so no Impact Study was publicized on the traffic, emissions, etc., just from the cars and trucks. Large jets will just exacerbate that impact. Has a plan been made to link Camarillo Airport to that facility?
4. May 13 Zoom at 17:03:15 From iPhone to Everyone: It all about pleasing Amazon.
5. Monday, May 17, 2021 4:26:41 PM - Gregory Grimm via website - When I look at the location of the new Amazon fulfillment center I don't think it is just a coincidence that it is so close to the north end of the runway, how long will it be before we have cargo flights coming and going multiple times a day there seems to be a planned expansion of the airport that is being hidden from the public. We need answers from the supervisors. There will be many negative effects

from increased airport traffic including more air pollution, noise pollution, lost real estate values, just to mention the most obvious.

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---

#### **Comments related to Commercial Service/Air Cargo**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:07:37 From Nancy Lininger to Everyone: yes, would like passenger routes come back to OXR
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:08:28 From wkjsa to Everyone: I would love passenger routes to come back to Oxnard. Or, offer them out of Camarillo. I used to take them frequently when Oxnard offered them.
3. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:50 From John to Everyone: Case in point all of the larger commercial airlines have failed in Oxnard several times and we don't need this in Camarillo.
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:42:40 From Bob Merrilees to Everyone: We used to book our flights from Oxnard to New Zealand for an extra \$50 when United served our community. Parking was \$6/day. It costs more than \$50 to take the Airporter.
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:43:57 From iPad air 2017 to Everyone: I am adamantly opposed to any commercial traffic into Camarillo. The Joint Powers Agreement forbids aircraft over 117,000 lbs.
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:49:13 From iPad air 2017 to Everyone: all I am hearing is how wonderful all this commercial activity would be for updated he businesses. forget the residents!
7. May 13 Zoom at 16:56:07 From iPad to Everyone: Oh yea, just what residents living under the traffic pattern are wanting commercial service 24/7 each and every day!!
8. May 13 Zoom at 16:56:12 From Beverly Vandermeulen, Leisure Village Camarillo to Everyone: You could fly from Oxnard to Phoenix in a smaller commercial plane.
9. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:08 From Miriam M. to Everyone: I like schedule airline service.
10. May 13 Zoom at 17:02:32 From iPad to Everyone: Yes the airlines have been approaching Ventura County and want to base out of Camarillo Airport!!
11. May 13 Zoom at 17:11:37 From Bob Guthrie to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates (Direct Message): I voted for Camarillo for commercial airlines only because of the size of aircraft that can land there. I would prefer Oxnard from a convenience standpoint.
12. Thursday, May 13, 2021 1:06:59 PM - Michael Sauthoff - Just want to put my input in support of bringing back commercial service at Oxnard Airport. I worked for the Navy and flew approximately monthly out of Oxnard to LAX. It was very convenient.
13. Saturday, May 15, 2021 6:44:27 PM - Jackie Scheidlinger via website - I am against the airport expansion and do not agree with opening up the airport to commercial air traffic. Too much noise and traffic. This may be good for Amazon and other large businesses, but it is not good for the community.
14. Monday, May 17, 2021 6:30:02 PM - Sam Nahas via website - I am in opposition to the expansion of the Camarillo airport for commercial use.
15. Monday, May 17, 2021 11:36:51 AM - Azadeh Gaggero via website - As a Camarillo resident, I believe that allowing the use of or passage of commercial and cargo jets through the Camarillo airport would be detrimental to the community on many levels and I ask our representatives to please move against such a thing. Allowing it would bring in more pollution to an agricultural area, increase traffic, and lower quality of life for its residents.

16. Tuesday, May 18, 2021 5:46:58 PM - Janet Runyon via website - I'm probably a minority opinion but I fully support expanding the Camarillo Airport. I believe that accepting more cargo/commercial flights can only enhance the area. I'd really like it if an airline services Camarillo too!
17. Tuesday, May 18, 2021 5:29:42 PM - Julie Boston via website - As a Camarillo resident, I am adamantly OPPOSED to expanding the Camarillo Airport for cargo and commercial airplanes.
18. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 11:36:43 PM - Nicole Gale via website - Please don't allow cargo and commercial jets to ruin Camarillo.
19. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 10:46:03 PM - Michele Stonehouse via website - I do not indorse the proposed change for the camarillo airport to include cargo and jet carriers
20. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 6:11:17 PM - Curtis Heath via website - Hello, I am a lifelong resident of Oxnard, CA. I live near the corner of F and 2nd Streets, right in the flight path to Oxnard Airport. Ventura County is uniquely positioned adjacent to Los Angeles. We have the benefits of being within 90 minutes of at least one team from every major sport, multiple amusement parks, the entertainment industry, etc. unfortunately, when we want to fly out of the region, we have to wait in traffic to get to LAX or Burbank. I would love to see either Oxnard or Camarillo airports bring back commercial flights. flights to other large airports on the west coast (Seattle, Portland, San Francisco, San Diego, Vegas, Phoenix, etc.), and even hopper flights to (LAX, Burbank, Long Beach, Bob Hope) I believe would increase travel to our area, as well as encourage Ventura County residents to travel outside our area.
21. Thursday, May 13, 2021 12:06:36 AM - Ignacio Marquez via website - My name is Ignacio Marquez. I'm a resident of Camarillo for my entire life. I would like to support the community to have commercial flights in Camarillo so they can flights anywhere. It will help travelers to save time and save miles on their vehicles.
22. Thursday, May 13, 2021 1:56:25 AM - Mitchel Kahn via website - This discussion has gone on about 20 years when the County thought it could cohabit with the Navy at Pt. Mugu Airbase. That fell apart when a Supervisor demanded that the County had to be in charge. (No surprise, right?) The logical commercial use now would be restoring it to Oxnard Airport. The hills surrounding Camarillo to the south and east along with the cross paths with Mugu make Camarillo to dangerous for such traffic. Commercial flights to and from this County would reduce the travel time, traffic and airport crowding at LAX for our citizens.
23. Thursday, May 13, 2021 2:59:49 PM - Vanessa Medina via website - I think it's great to bring commercial flights and even international flights to our local airports.
24. Thursday, May 13, 2021 9:22:53 PM - william tasca via website - I'm a former employee of Skywest Airlines and was there for the last United Express departure back in 2010. I'm for any kind kind of airline or cargo service that would create dozens if not in the hundreds of jobs and would be a good starting point for people to start a career in the aviation industry. Also if airline service is to return make the destination a further connection city, when working the ticket counter people always compared the prices to driving to lax so make sure it's not an lax flight.
25. Friday, May 14, 2021 8:28:07 AM - rick kanatzar via website - We would support commercial flights out of both Camarillo and Oxnard airports. it was long ago that we could hop a shuttle to LAX for destinations. We miss that as well as flights to Phoenix, Tucson, Denver, Seattle and other western destinations.

26. Monday, May 17, 2021 6:30:02 PM - Sam Nahas via website - I am in opposition to the expansion of the Camarillo airport for commercial use.
27. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:47 From Colleen D. to Everyone: I support hosting "cargo" for emergency flights for disaster events and relief operations, but NOT for increased commercial businesses such as FedEx and Amazon
28. May 13 Zoom at 16:46:51 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: These guys are not talking about the GENERAL AVIATION ie: Cessna's Beechcraft Cirrus etc. They are talking about bringing in larger commercial type aircraft
29. May 13 Zoom at 16:57:17 From iPad air 2017 to Everyone: these guys are all about making this happen regardless of what we say. airline service? Oxnard has failed for years. what has changed? if the airlines could make money THEY WOULD ALREADY BE HERE.
30. Sunday, May 16, 2021 8:39:09 PM - Lisa White via website - I would strongly disagree with having the airport in Camarillo be included as an airport that receives and sends air traffic of large cargo planes and commercial flights. Camarillo's airport is located adjacent to residential areas that would be impacted detrimentally by more air traffic. The health and safety of citizens, as a whole, of Camarillo would see negative effects from increased air traffic and it would greatly change the standard of living for most residents in Camarillo. This town has seen significant growth in the last 10 years. It is not a necessity to add this undue burden of noise, air pollution and increased traffic.
31. Sunday, May 16, 2021 8:36:07 PM – Karen Arthur via website - I am writing to voice my opposition to expansion of Camarillo Airport. If anything, an expansion of departures and returns via Metrolink to and from Burbank Airport would be something I'd promote and endorse. In terms of commercial aircraft, a deal can be made with the military airports in our area. Camarillo is a small community with a neighborhood that would be negatively impacted by more frequent flights and larger aircraft flying in and out of Camarillo Airport. There are health risks to consider, as well. Several schools are located in close proximity to the airport, and their air quality is already impacted by the freeway and pesticide spraying in the fields nearby. Enough is enough! Please do not be swayed by profit over the health of our community members. If bringing jobs to our area is a motivating factor in expansion, our community has in place a robust adult education consortium designed to train Ventura County residents to meet the needs of our collective communities. Burbank Airport is 49 miles away, and Oxnard Airport (already in a more industrial area, prepared to support expansion) is 8 miles away. The Camarillo community will not suffer economic hardship as a result of not expanding our airport. That argument requires evidence to support it before it is considered a reason to move forward with expansion.
32. Sunday, May 16, 2021 7:27:33 PM - Marianne Chow via website - I don't want the airport to allow cargo planes. I'm living in the landing traffic zone and it is annoying now I can't imagine bigger planes.
33. Sunday, May 16, 2021 6:19:49 PM - Maria Jarquin-Lesky via website - Traffic is already bad enough from airplanes and cars. Please do not move forward with our local airport to handle cargo. Thank you.
34. Monday, May 17, 2021 1:33:41 PM - Paula Rocke via website - I attended the Zoom meeting last week that provided information about the upcoming research that is going to be conducted on the Camarillo and Oxnard Airports. I understand this is the first step in the process. However,

the concerns that many residents raised are worth repeating. It was stated at the meeting that the airports have been approached numerous times about the allowance of more aircraft etc. I believe the full answer was not provided and believe that the airports were approached due to the closure of the Santa Monica airport which will occur on or before 2028. Santa Monica is a larger city and can accommodate an airport. Whereas Camarillo and Oxnard cannot. Many of the home communities were here before the airport and the issues that bring us here today. Additionally, the foot print of Camarillo and Oxnard is smaller. it will result in more traffic and noise, more pollution, and more accidents. It is not centrally located and just because a conference center is being constructed does not justify an airport that houses commercial or cargo carriers. There are many long term residents who have legitimate concerns and have seen the increase of aircraft in our skies over the last few years. This is not something to be taken lightly and because the FAA is providing a grant does not justify the use of it for this area. I ask that you are mindful of all of these concerns when conducting the research and not rely on the value you think this will provide. This is our home. Creation of this will be a nuisance and subject the Cities to lawsuits which trickles down to the property owners and tax payers of this County. Santa Barbara has an airport that is closer than Burbank or Los Angeles. Why not add to that airport since it is an area that has space, is set up for the areas you are researching and not close to any housing communities.

35. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 10:45:58 AM - Kristen Long via website - Camarillo airport should remain a small municipal airport. The residents here want a quiet residential community and do not want commercial jets or cargo using the Camarillo airport. The safety risks and noise pollution that would result from commercial traffic alone should prevent consideration of this project. Residents deserve a peaceful and safe community. Changing the airport would force out the general aviation enthusiasts and devalue property. Residents have repeat stated we don't want and will not concede to expansion. It is time to stop putting the desire of Amazon before the voters and tax payers. Keep Camarillo airport as is and keep residents safe.
36. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 7:20:06 PM - Burris DeBenning via website - I am a private Venura resident creating my own countywide master plan concept that by 2100 will propose the following: 1) Fully developed airline service either from OXR or a joint agreement with the Navy Base VC for use of property at Pt. Mugu air base. 2) Light rail service (like BART) connecting key stops throughout VC. 3) Development of the county ports into a single joint powers alliance called the Port of Ventura, aligning Ventura Harbor, Channel Islands Harbor and Port Hueneme. Upgrades to allow for a commuter and leisure jet ferry service that will take passengers to LA (Marina Del Rey - bus can to LAX), Long Beach, OC, and Catalina (Gold Coast Ferry Services run by the Gold Coast Transit District 4) Gold Coast Transit District - buses, light rail, maritime services 5) Upgrade port(s) to allow cruise ship berthing In terms of the 7 year plan for the airports, my main thrust is the following: 1) Upgrade OXR or use joint base Pt. Mugu to allow for multi carrier airline services 2) Upgrades to OXR: given the large Latino population in Oxnard and the County, offer air service by Mexican airlines to cities such as Guadalajara, Juanuato, Michoacán, etc., aircraft to be CRJ900 to Airbus 319/220, make OXR or Pt. Mugu a Port of Entry so passengers may clear customs and immigration; expand OXR terminal building, possible extend runway across Victoria Ave. to accommodate larger aircraft; this service would not necessarily compare w/ BUR or LAX and would be a great relief to those who normally drive to LAX for these flights. 3) Expand freight operations to accommodate larger aircraft and services

such as Amazon, UPS, FedEx; coordinate w/ new Amazon fulfillment center in Oxnard. 4) light rail and bus services to either airport 5) multiple carrier services that would not compete w/ BUR or SBA but would serve VC residents and relieve traffic to airports outside county; precedent for this is the UK, where several airports near London are adjuncts to Heathrow, like Luton. 6) If Pt. Mugu, build terminals, air freight complex, add surface infrastructure and extend Runway 27. This is a rough sketch but I firmly believe that the future of Ventura County's economy will depend on such improvements. As to the environment, plenty of options are available for LEED, smart growth, green belts, etc.

37. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 10:54:37 PM - Kathy Frye via website - I oppose cargo aircraft and commercial (large) aircraft use at the Camarillo Airport. In the 1970's the City of Camarillo required that the runway be shortened to avoid large aircraft use. Keep the airport use for regional prop aircraft and small light jets. Do not make Camarillo cave to the pressure of recent commercial development.
38. Thursday, May 13, 2021 11:36:46 AM - Walt De La Torre via website - As a resident of Camarillo Old Town for more than thirty years I am opposed to any plan to increase air traffic to Camarillo Airport. There are already large jets flying over this part of town, banking over houses as they come in from the coast or flying dangerously low in the fog. Larger commercial jets or cargo jets would be more dangerous and far more disruptive to a quiet community. I don't think I would be alone in seeing the negative effects. Many years of costly revitalization have added business to Old Town and made the area a more desirable location to live. The Camarillo Outlets also attract visitors from throughout the state and internationally. All of this would be ruined if the people had to contend with all that air traffic disruption. Camarillo has an identity and is a beautiful place to live, shop, dine, or visit. Please keep it this way and stop plans for any expansion of the Camarillo Airport.
39. May 13 Zoom at 16:54:38 From Mark Malone to Everyone: Cargo operations are needed in Ventura County. NAS Mugu should become a joint use airport allowing jet cargo service into an airport that has long runways and space to create parking ramps. Camarillo is ramp space limited with a full plate of general aviation operations and can't handle more traffic. Oxnard's runway is too short. Plus Camarillo and Oxnard airports have substantial residential impacts that Mugu does not. Efforts should be made to get Mugu useable for cargo.
40. May 13 Zoom at 16:55:12 From Bob Dinsmore to Everyone: Pt. Mugu is a military air field and should not be mingled with civilian passenger or cargo traffic for obvious reasons of security.
41. Thursday, May 13, 2021 4:04:22 PM via email- Mark Malone - I was part of the Zoom today and as a pilot flying a Gulfstream for work and light twin that I own and living at Lakeside in the right traffic flight Plath for Camarillo I have a suggestion. Camarillo and Oxnard have entrenched residential opposition to just the existence of these two airports. Adding airline service to Camarillo or adding Amazon type cargo operations to either airport is going to be a battle. Ventura County does have the ability to handle cargo with exploring a joint use of NAS Point Mugu. That runway is large enough for any cargo jet to operate at maximum gross weight. There could be ramp space created. It already has an ILS and no nighttime restrictions. Residents are 5 or more miles away, not close in. While Mugu would be a challenge to get to joint use with time, planning and making connections it could happen. There are many examples of joint use and we are only talking about cargo, all those going on base could be screened. Oxnard has the facility for regional airline service. Camarillo's single runway is maxed out with general and corporate

aviation and has wealthy opposition in Spanish Hills. Oxnard is wide open for more airline operations. As a pilot aware of the political resistance to airports here I suggest your team seriously look into Mugu for joint use Cargo. General and corporate – Camarillo. Regional Airline – Oxnard. Cargo - NAS Point Mugu

42. Thursday, May 13, 2021 1:56:25 AM - Mitchel Kahn via website - This discussion has gone on about 20 years when the County thought it could cohabit with the Navy at Pt. Mugu Airbase. That fell apart when a Supervisor demanded that the County had to be in charge. (No surprise, right?) The logical commercial use now would be restoring it to Oxnard Airport. The hills surrounding Camarillo to the south and east along with the cross paths with Mugu make Camarillo to dangerous for such traffic. Commercial flights to and from this County would reduce the travel time, traffic and airport crowding at LAX for our citizens.
43. May 13 Zoom at 16:50:45 From Mark Sullivan to Everyone: The airports have very limited service for small general aviation aircraft because there are few hangars that the Department of Airports will let be used for such services. They are promoting transport category aircraft maintenance services - a completely different category. The decision needs to be made whether Camarillo will be a general aviation airport or a large aircraft (cargo) airport.
44. Wednesday, May 26, 2021 9:49:20 PM – Ronda Smith via website – I am not in favor of commercial flights coming to Oxnard or Camarillo airports.
45. Wednesday, May 26, 2021 5:26 PM – John Bellfield via website - Ventura county requires commercial flights for our growing business and residential populations.

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---

#### **Vehicle Traffic Comments**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:33:12 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: mORE FLIGHTS, MORE CARS, MORE TRAFFIC, MOREPOLLUTION, MORE PROBLEMS.
2. May 13 Zoom at 17:20:06 From iPad to Everyone: I can see massive traffic jams that last forever!
3. May 13 Zoom at 17:21:58 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: I have an aircraft at KCMA and I live in Santa Barbara. the time on the freeway should be about 40 minutes however I have times where it takes me more that 2 hours to get to Santa Barbara. Freeway nightmare
4. Saturday, May 15, 2021 10:56:42 AM - Cynthia Livesey via website -- Please please consider the impact on our freeway system through Camarillo. Both north and south. The quality of live and air noise would be detrimental to our community. For once just consider quality over commerce.

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---

#### **General Comments**

1. May 13 Zoom at 16:11:27 From Colleen D. to Everyone: This question is not well phrased-- of course we want economic growth and development, but at what costs to health, etc
2. May 13 Zoom at 16:11:32 From iPhone to Everyone: There are not as many residential issues with the Oxnard Airport. Those of us that live in Old Town, as well as Village Commons are affected by airplane traffic.

3. May 13 Zoom at 16:14:58 From John to Everyone: I have lived here since 1960 and in the mid 70's it was voted on to be a small airport and never to the degree that is being proposed.
4. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:00 From Bruce Little to Everyone: We have heavy traffic over residential areas of Camarillo that apparently aren't approved from arrival and departure flight paths. I've attached map showing where I live.
5. May 13 Zoom at 16:21:07 From Toni's iPad to Everyone: Some of these questions should be addressed to city also. Not that they listen much
6. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:10 From Colleen D. to Everyone: Again, a loaded question: of course we want improved technology to reduce pollution, etc. The question is, at what cost?
7. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:11 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: I am a new Camarillo resident, but anyone who wants to know how this exact Next Gen issue is panning out in Sherman Oaks, Encino, and Studio City please see sosla.org.
8. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:50 From Jill to Everyone: Camarillo residents need to organize along with maybe Sustainable Camarillo - we made a difference with bringing a prison to our city. Ventura County needs to stop putting a price tag on the quality of our lifestyle!
9. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:38 From Rick Conrad to Everyone: ST, good suggestion - right traffic is the way to go!
10. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:52 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: Guys your missing the big picture. These guys want to bring in 737's 757's etc to grow this airport. so don't get focused on the small aircraft, you better worry about the greater traffic coming in if they open this up to freight or commercial aircraft.
11. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:56 From Nancy Lininger to Everyone: 2nd question same as first; misleading. I am interested in some growth but question the balance of noise/life impact. So cannot answer yes/no questions.
12. May 13 Zoom at 16:24:00 From Steve Nash to Everyone: Break the question into bigger/louder planes or quieter/alternative engine/electric motor driven planes.
13. May 13 Zoom at 16:24:02 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Yes. Keep Oxnard airport light. NO improvements in additional flights.
14. May 13 Zoom at 16:24:30 From EE to Everyone: Are they saying their master plan is to accept a fleet of electronic planes? We will all be dead before that tech is rolled out!
15. May 13 Zoom at 16:24:49 From Alan Glen to Everyone: Again you are not hearing the pent up concern and these issues must be addressed before the County consider any updates or new development.
16. May 13 Zoom at 16:25:55 From Diana Troik to Everyone: was there anyone who was shocked to find there was an airport here... thats been here since about the time of the Korean war? Art Shaffman
17. May 13 Zoom at 16:26:24 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Time is Money? Oh, please! at what cost?
18. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:38 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: Eric/Matt - do either of you live in flight patterns? And your poll questions are designed to get what YOU want, not fully understand concerns.
19. May 13 Zoom at 16:32:55 From Bruce Little to Everyone: Agree with Dennis and Rose. We live in the same flight path, with is not an approved one.

20. May 13 Zoom at 16:33:12 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: mORE FLIGHTS, MORE CARS, MORE TRAFFIC, MOREPOLLUTION, MORE PROBLEMS.
21. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:01 From Nick to Everyone: There is no plan...it's a trap folks.
22. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:06 From iPad to Everyone: Christine Cassiano - right on!! They don't care. Neither does the City of Camarillo!
23. May 13 Zoom at 16:35:26 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: tHIS IS THE RESSON SO MANY PEOPLE ARE LEAVING California. UNBRIDLED "PROGRESS" FOR ECONOMIC GAIN.
24. May 13 Zoom at 16:35:32 From Bruce Little to Everyone: The problem is pilots don't conform to approved flight paths.
25. May 13 Zoom at 16:36:39 From Jill to Everyone: "Mitigate" always means making a horrible situation less offensive. No thanks.
26. May 13 Zoom at 16:37:16 From Nick to Everyone: Wow. They are deaf to anything but there message and they don't understand aircraft. They don't fly.
27. May 13 Zoom at 16:39:40 From cindy to Everyone: Leave Camarillo alone please...
28. May 13 Zoom at 16:41:38 From Kim Stephenson to Everyone: Yes, @Dave, someone is here from NBVC...collaboration could help save the base and help the county.
29. May 13 Zoom at 16:41:45 From iPad to Everyone: Good point Mark Sullivan - Camarillo had a general election over the airport and the vast majority vote to NOT have an airport. It was nullified in court.
30. May 13 Zoom at 16:43:57 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: THE WHOLE ARGUEMENT IS WEIGHTED TOWARD ECONOMIC GROWTH - NOT WHAT THE RESIDENTS WANT. THIS IS A SALES JOB
31. May 13 Zoom at 16:43:59 From james bailey to Everyone: I support the Camarillo Airport and but they seem to be doing a good job. I have lived in Camarillo since 87.
32. May 13 Zoom at 16:49:45 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: At WHAT COST, there going to ruin Camarillo and Ventura
33. May 13 Zoom at 16:50:38 From Katharine to Everyone: We will keep fighting
34. May 13 Zoom at 16:52:28 From james bailey to Everyone: If you moved here, with existing Airports and Farmland, you knew what you getting into.
35. May 13 Zoom at 16:53:23 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: Like I said. Box checking exercise for their process. What we are saying or asking don't matter. We need to be prepared to come together and fight this because they won't be fair in their analysis. That isn't their goal.
36. May 13 Zoom at 16:54:28 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: ALL ABOUT MONEY. END OF STORY.
37. May 13 Zoom at 16:54:40 From EE to Everyone: The Master Plan is basically we haven't done the research
38. May 13 Zoom at 16:55:29 From Nick to Everyone: We're using the same research we sell everyone across the United States.... ;)
39. May 13 Zoom at 16:55:47 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: The Camarillo airport right now is in the processes of trying to take away the hangars used by small private aircraft with new land lease agreements. This now makes lots of sense take a look at what happened to Van Nuys, they got the Air Guard out because they said the C-130's were too noisy, but then they brought in much more corporate jets.

40. May 13 Zoom at 16:57:09 From Ellen Oxnard CA to Everyone: We saw the beginning of the migration of people leaving this area during the pandemic. I think we will soon see many seniors leave this area seeing the planned destruction of out
41. May 13 Zoom at 16:59:43 From Mike Barber to Everyone: It's not just noise....it's safety concerns for planes flying over neighborhoods. They need to stay away from the houses
42. May 13 Zoom at 17:23:42 From wkjsa to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates(Direct Message): I would like to have either Oxnard or Camarillo developed. Camarillo would provide more airplane opportunities though. I think
43. May 13 Zoom at 17:26:15 From Jason M. Samonte to Eric Pfeifer - Coffman Associates(Direct Message): I want to go on record that any Camarillo Airport Master Plan should coordinate with the City of Camarillo's General Plan. Thank You
44. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:27 From Nick to Everyone: Get ready for the lawsuit...
45. Monday, May 10, 2021 7:56:26 PM via email - Tom Magdaleno - Hello, just wanted to give my feedback on the airport master plan. I am against anything that increases air traffic. The skies over Camarillo are full of airplanes and any time of the day. We don't need the increased risk of a collision or the noise of more traffic.
46. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 3:07 PM - Niki Carmichael - Please consider adding electric vehicle charging stations at all VC airports. Thank you
47. Saturday, May 15, 2021 3:44:32 PM – John Greenwood via website -- The current usage at the Camarillo Airport is already more than our community needs. Do not add any additional air traffic to the Camarillo airport. Do not expand the runway or the facilities in any way. It would be a detriment to the quality of life in Camarillo.
48. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 11:15:05 PM - Laura Seasongood via website - I can see pros and cons for a local airport. On the one hand, having a means to travel from closer to home would cut down on traffic and emissions, and save residents money on travel. It would boost our economy. Residents could more easily welcome visitors and businesses could bring clients or staff from out of state offices here. Shipping could also be more efficient, and with a local airport, there could be hubs for large distribution companies, thus more jobs. Hotels and restaurants would benefit. Even local farms and dairies might do more business. As for concerns, it could potentially create an enormous noise pollution problem, and could also create poor air quality. It could increase traffic into our area from the West Valley, from Santa Clarita, and even from Santa Barbara. It could bring crime. It could add light pollution, which is already a problem for those who enjoy stargazing or being able have darkness for sleeping hours near home windows. I would also be concerned our beaches would become overcrowded and locals could no longer enjoy our beaches. Solutions for those problems could be - If the plan included some guarantees that airlines would limit flights at certain times, and would not add costs to fly from our airport to other cities, that would help. I used to use Burbank a lot until airlines started charging more to fly out of Burbank, and adding more and more stops to flights traveling to other cities from Burbank. I'd hate to see that happen if we allow flights out here. Perhaps an analysis of which regions Ventura County residents might visit most could yield a potential airline to make Ventura's airport its hub, which could mitigate costs to travelers. If planes followed delineated routes, going outside/around suburban areas, particularly at night, that would help. If they offered smaller planes servicing other California cities, and our bordering states, that could also reduce noise and emissions and connect us to larger airlines through

some partnerships. Perhaps walls or large flaps could obscure the intense glare of the runway lights from surrounding neighborhoods and skies. I believe I've seen that at airports. It would be important to have good bus and shuttle service into the airport to reduce traffic congestion, and our trains would have to access the airport with more times in and out of our area than commuter (Metrolink) currently offers. We would need to keep airport traffic from using the rural West 118 and keep them on the 23/101 route, or the 126. Shuttles and trains would need to cover any airport access in or through Santa Rosa/Moorpark/Somis. Perhaps some local beaches could be off limits to non-residents. There would need to be some parking restrictions for non residents as well in areas bordering tourist attractions or the airport itself.

49. Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:54 PM - Bob Launius via email to Kip Turner - I'm a 35 year resident of Oxnard and remember how fun it was to fly into Oxnard airport! I've flown with friends out of Camarillo as well. Both sites have been in operation for decades! Both are away from residents who either moved in or had homes built after the airport. This project will bring not just jobs but vitality to the County as well as tax revenue! I'm a 6 year Veteran of the armed forces and 31 year Firefighter in Los Angeles. We both know revenue makes things happen that people enjoy. Some folks want no change! I understand their side, however these airports have been here for a long time!
50. Wednesday, May 12, 2021 11:47:42 PM - Eileen Valles via website - We are very concerned that the new master plan will change the airports traffic levels negatively for the people of Camarillo. We have noticed and been bothered by the increase in size of jets and nonstop landings and departures. Clearly this has already been approved and we DO NOT want it getting any worse. Our quality of life is what we pay for living here and we are not willing to sell it to the highest bidder.
51. Thursday, May 13, 2021 1:47:50 PM - Michael Kramer via website - Be forewarned: do not be swayed by the dollar signs that proponents of the expansion of this airport are waving in front of you. This is a move that will ruin the peaceful community of Camarillo, and it cannot be undone once it begins. Affluent private jet owners and shipping companies want this access for their own convenience and do not care about our community now, nor will they later when the damage is irreparable. Their jets will be screaming across the sky and assaulting the community with their noise and pollution- but they will have what they want, and the City of Camarillo will have extra money. It will have sold off the serenity of this city forever.
52. May 13 Zoom at 16:41:38 From iPhone to Everyone: Santa Monica will close by 2022 and you know it.
53. May 13 Zoom at 16:50:29 From Rose to Everyone: Great idea, Dave! Expand Pt. Magu
54. May 13 Zoom at 17: May 13 Zoom at 17:11 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: Pt. Mugu would be a better airport as it could be used as a joint use airport
55. May 13 Zoom at 17:18:02 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: The Point Mugu option could be a good idea.
56. May 13 Zoom at 17:19:00 From Nick&Dee to Everyone: A shared use at PM is a massive ATRP security issues
57. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:08 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: Once the wealthy jet owners and cargo companies have the access they want and the City of Camarillo starts receiving money, this will not be reversible. We need to fight like crazy now.

58. May 13 Zoom at 16:22:38 From Ellen Galeski Oxnard CA to Everyone: Economics? We are the richest nation on the world already. Lets remain rich in resources.
59. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:14 From Howard Ogle to Everyone: If the community further restricts flights we will move our business and jobs out of California to somewhere where airports are welcome.
60. May 13 Zoom at 16:38:03 From Gloria Miele to Everyone: No, we all hate economic growth. You see why many of us are not responding to the polls?
61. May 13 Zoom at 16:40:08 From Colleen D. to Everyone: Again, the questions are poorly presented-- of COURSE we want more jobs, etc. But the question is the COST to health, the environment, quality of life, etc
62. May 13 Zoom at 16:49:16 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: Notice the questions are all about "Hey guys we'll bring in more high paying jobs.
63. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:16 From Nick to Everyone: Why are we skipping to this? ;)
64. May 13 Zoom at 16: May 13 Zoom at 17:50 From iPad to Everyone: The illusion of public participation and input is astounding!
65. May 13 Zoom at 16:18:23 From Nick to Everyone: Ignoring the questions and the citizens...
66. May 13 Zoom at 16:20:01 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: I agree with what Nick said above. This group is ignoring questions and citizen concerns. Embarrassing display already and if this is how this process will go, we have reason to be very, very concerned.
67. May 13 Zoom at 16:22:43 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: This is beyond embarrassing.
68. May 13 Zoom at 16:23:27 From Joe Teichert to Everyone: Let's all be patient people, this is the first of 4 workshops.
69. May 13 Zoom at 16:42:46 From George Shaw to Everyone: The questions are too simplistic and, if answered, lead to misleading information for you. What one might believe about Oxnard Airport is likely not, or not always, identical to perceptions about Camarillo, or Santa Paula.
70. May 13 Zoom at 16:48:40 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: These ridiculous questions are condescending
71. May 13 Zoom at 16:48:50 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: These questions are out of control.
72. May 13 Zoom at 16:48:53 From Katharine to Everyone: This meeting is a brain washing session
73. May 13 Zoom at 16:57:05 From Bev Dransfeldt to Everyone: A recommendation for any future zooms is to enable the q&a feature with staff available to reply to questions raised as they are asked. It can help streamline the process and help others feel authentically listened to, not ignored and give an "other" option on polls to explain our replies.
74. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:10 From iPad to Everyone: This is just the first meeting of the steamroller called airport development and expansion.
75. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:52 From Mark Swaney to Everyone: How can you actually use poll data from this when it's obvious the audience is extremely biased toward "not in my back yard."
76. May 13 Zoom at 17:26:52 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: The poll questions and the way they were worded should invalidate the poll.
77. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:02 From Kathy McGrath to Everyone: Agreed. Lip Service and condescending.

78. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:07 From iPad to Everyone: I feel like the poll came from a third world country.
79. May 13 Zoom at 17:27:38 From Diana Troik to Everyone: Poll questions totally biased in wording.
80. Thursday, May 13, 2021 6:11:47 PM - Chris Sanborn via website - I'm a homeowner living right under the Camarillo Airport flight path in Old Town so I'm VERY interested in this study. I was on the Zoom session for about the first 15 minutes when, after the first polling question, it appeared to me commenting participants were expecting answers/solutions to their (our) long-standing worries and complaints about airport noise, pollution, etc. I know that wasn't purpose of this session, so I left it because I couldn't afford 90 minutes of that. I do agree with the very first commenter that the initial polling question was POORLY worded — I had to answer NO because I'd much prefer my lovely, quiet neighborhood (and my property values) be preserved over the airports' economic development potential — but, IF such development could occur without MAJOR impact to Old Town or wiping out hundreds or thousands of acres of ag land, then I MIGHT be OK with it. But you all know that. Why the question couldn't have incorporated a scale for agreement/disagreement I'm not sure. Maybe just harder to tally? And I'll be interested to see what kind of follow-up there is on this first meeting — a summary document posted online, perhaps? And definitely the results of your 5 polling questions.
81. May 13 Zoom at 16:29:19 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: Do any of the presenters live in a flight pattern? Easy for them to say it won't be bad...
82. May 13 Zoom at 16:29:29 From iPad to Everyone: The presentation is all about money for the county.
83. May 13 Zoom at 16:30:04 From Nick to Everyone: None of them are private pilots, either.
84. May 13 Zoom at 16:30:08 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: These guys are all about bringin in MONEY that's the bottom line. Not about helping anyone who lives in the area
85. May 13 Zoom at 16:30:24 From EE to Everyone: These guys are from Scottsdale Arizona
86. May 13 Zoom at 16:31:14 From Alan Glen to Everyone: Agree with Dennis, solve issues first before proceeding with study
87. May 13 Zoom at 16:33:20 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: This is just a box checking exercise. They don't really care about what we think
88. May 13 Zoom at 16:34:59 From John to Everyone: It's sad that none of the Camarillo City Council members have not asked any questions if they are even on.
89. May 13 Zoom at 16:40:16 From Rulla Hernandez to Everyone: Eric & Matt work for Coffman Associates Airport Consultants located in Kansas City. Google the company
90. May 13 Zoom at 16:49:45 From Nick to Everyone: They are consultants. They don't actually bring jobs in. Crazy.
91. May 13 Zoom at 16:50:28 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: What Nick said. Thank you for bringing that up. As noted above, they live in Kansas. No way they care about locals.
92. May 13 Zoom at 16:52:24 From Michael Maloco to Everyone: These guys are being paid a great deal of money for this study, and you bet your ass they're going to come up with a very nice package to sell this stupid idea.
93. May 13 Zoom at 16:57:40 From iPad to Everyone: Ventura County wants to shove this plan and airport expansion down your collective throats.

94. May 13 Zoom at 17:00:25 From Rick Conrad to Everyone: KCMA should be left traffic, KOXR right.
95. May 13 Zoom at 17:05:08 From iPad air 2017 to Everyone: no satisfactory answers. tons of mumblespeak.
96. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:02 From iPad to Everyone: Sounds to me like you fellows got a hold of a wolf and can't let go.
97. May 13 Zoom at 17:14:16 From Christine Cassiano to Everyone: Mike just said that they did not go into this with preconceived notions, yet the survey question itself proves otherwise.
98. May 13 Zoom at 17:15:00 From iPad to Everyone: The NAVY has been trying to close operations in Ventura County for quite a while but the FAA and the NAVY do not talk to each other.
99. Wednesday, May 19, 2021 3:37 PM – Steven Yarbrough via email: My husband and I stronger oppose any expansion of the Camarillo Airport.
100. May 13 Zoom at 16:07:27 From Nick to Everyone: yes, where are these stats? The Palmdale airport became a graveyard after this type of change.
101. Saturday, May 22, 2021 9:23:58 AM - David Kittinger via email: I would like to get a copy of the previous master plan for Camarillo and Oxnard airports. In the meeting on May 13 it was stated that a plan is required every 2-20 years so there should be one available. Thank you.
102. Saturday, May 22, 2021 5:36:46 PM – Charles Russell via email: I believe the airport location relative to the center of the City of Camarillo is inaccurate and misleading. The Master Plan information indicates the airport is THREE miles west of the city center. It is in fact less than ONE mile, so the plan information is very misleading. Correction should be made.
103. Saturday, May 22, 2021 5:55:12 PM – Norman Clark via email: The Camarillo/Oxnard Airport Authority meeting was not about "Community Input. It appeared to be dominated by business interests. These individuals do not represent "the public" but represent those who hope to profit from airport expansion. Most of us just live here and are not looking to make money off things like airport expansion. Quality of life should be the main objective not how much more money can be made.
104. Saturday, May 22, 2021 11:36:26 PM – Edward Kasaba via email: An airport will cause more in negative impacts on the Newbury Park and Thousand Oaks communities in air pollution, noise and new home density than any economy benefits it would bring to these communities not to mention safety issues such as recent aircraft crash trying to land at Camarillo ie: Kolby Bryant helicopter crash among others. Do not approve an new commercial airport at theses locations in Moorpark or Oxnard when there is Santa Barbara snd Burbank minutes away. Thank you
105. Tuesday, May 25, 2021 4:15 PM – David Cronshaw via website - Matt, With the crash of the Biplane in Ventura on Saturday May 22:  
<https://www.vcstar.com/story/news/local/communities/ventura/2021/05/22/two-uninjured-after-biplane-crashes-near-ventura/5229550001/>. Part of these Master Plan discussions need to include aircraft safety. This biplane was a from the 1940s! Days earlier it was practicing training touch and goes over Oxnard from OXR. <https://flightaware.com/resources/registration/N87WF>  
 If you watch the replay flight video, they were seconds from not being able find empty land to crash land. <https://flightaware.com/live/flight/N87WF/history/20210523/0223Z>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bb5ecvQ2rho>  
 The second line displays the altitude and then the speed. That type of 1940s biplane stalls at 55mph. It was basically stalled over the City of Ventura. They were lucky to be able to turn it

180degrees to get to the field to crash land. We routinely monitor the Flight School Aircraft doing multiple touch and goes out of OXR and looping excessively over our homes. The Flight Schools are training with aircraft using out-of-date mufflers, let alone the safety of these old aircraft. Here is a sample list of the Flight School and individual aircraft training over Oxnard from the LAST 3 DAYS

"1968 CESSNA 172K  
Fixed wing single engine"  
"1973 PIPER PA-32-300  
Fixed wing single engine"  
"1948 CESSNA 140  
Fixed wing single engine CP AVIATION"  
"1974 PIPER PA-28-151  
Fixed wing single engine"  
"1974 CESSNA 310Q  
Fixed wing multi engine"  
"1968 CESSNA 172K  
Fixed wing single engine"

These are just from the last 3 days! We expect that Safety and Noise will be discussed in these public Master Plan meetings. The Oxnard Airport Ops personnel also mentioned in their presentation that Noise Monitors would be installed at both OXR. Can you confirm? Thank you.  
David Cronshaw, Oxnard

**Response: All comments are noted.**

---